UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,464	10/30/2003	Julian Mitchell	920476-95004	7959	
	7590 10/28/200 HORNBURG LLP	8	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2786			HONG, HARRY S		
CHICAGO, IL	00090-2780		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2614		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/28/2008	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent-ch@btlaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Antique Comments	10/697,464	MITCHELL ET AL	MITCHELL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Harry S. Hong	2614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a region of the complex states and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this or BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	6 July 2008						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ers, prosecution as to the	e merits is				
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-16,18-21,23,25 and 26</u> is/are p	pending in the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>1,3-16,18-21 and 23</u> is/are allowed							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25 and 26</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
	ninor						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 October 2003</u> is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
			FR 1 121(d)				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		2 C C 7 C C	·				
<u> </u>	:	2 440(-) (-1) (5)					
	2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
,— ,— ,—	a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received					
Gee the attached detailed Office action for a	nst of the certified copies flot	received.					
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		nformal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/697,464 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 25 and 26 recite a computer readable medium. However, the original disclosure fails to describe and/or interpret the computer readable medium. The disclosure fails to mention a computer readable medium. Thus the claims contain subject matter which was not described in such a way as to reasonably convey to one even one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 25 and 26 are directed to non-statutory subject matter. Since the computer readable medium was not described in the original disclosure as stated

above, it can be interpreted as "transmission media", "carrier wave" or any type of signals which falls under non-statutory subject matter.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1, 3-16, 18-21, and 23 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patent to Chitturi et al. (US 6,760,780 B1) teaches an aspect of proxying telephony messages.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry S. Hong whose telephone number is (571) 272-7485. The examiner is normally off on Wednesdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad F. Matar can be reached on (571) 272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,464 Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Harry S. Hong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614

October 21, 2008